

Excerpts from In Searching For A New Enemy To Unite Us, We Came Up With The Threat Of Global Warming: by: Climatism: Jan 24, 2017

<https://climatism.wordpress.com/2014/01/24/in-searching-for-a-new-enemy-to-unite-us-we-came-up-with-the-threat-of-global-warming/>

*“The common enemy of humanity is man. In searching for a new enemy to unite us, we came up with the idea that pollution, the threat of global warming, water shortages, famine and the like would fit the bill. All these dangers are caused by human intervention, and it is only through changed attitudes and behaviour that they can be overcome. The real enemy then, is humanity itself.”*

– **Club of Rome, premier environmental think-tank, consultants to the United Nations**

*“Isn’t the only hope for the planet that the industrialized civilizations collapse? Isn’t it our responsibility to bring that about?”*

– **Maurice Strong, founder of the UN Environment Programme (UNEP)**

Anthropogenic “climate change”, and the control of carbon dioxide (energy) has deep roots in a radical, yet gravely misguided campaign to reduce the world’s population.

A misanthropic agenda engineered by the environmental movement in the mid 1970’s, who realized that doing something about “global warming” would play to quite a number of its social agendas.

The goal was advanced, most notably, by The Club of Rome (Consultants to the UN) – a group of mainly European scientists and academics, who used computer modelling to warn that the world would run out of finite resources if population growth were left unchecked.

The Club of Rome’s 1972 environmental best-seller “The Limits To Growth”, examined five variables in the original model: world population, industrialization, pollution, food production and resource depletion. Not surprisingly, the study predicted a dire future for mankind unless we ‘act now’ :

Around the same time, influential anthropologist and president of the American Medical Association for the Advancement of Science (AAAS), Margaret Mead, gathered together like-minded anti-population hoaxsters at her 1975, North Carolina conference, “The Atmosphere: Endangered and Endangering”. Mead’s star recruits were climate scare artist Stephen Schneider, population-freak George Woodwell and former AAAS head, John Holdren (currently President Barack Obama’s Science and Technology Czar). All three of them disciples of Malthusian catastrophist Paul Ehrlich, author of the “The Population Bomb”.

The conference concluded that human-produced carbon dioxide would fry the planet, melt the ice caps, and destroy human life. The idea being to sow enough fear of man-made climate change to force global cutbacks in industrial activity and halt Third World development.

With man's industrial fortunes fingered as the driver of eco-destructive population growth, it was inevitable that 'Science' would be called upon to act as judge, jury and executioner. However, as it turned out, the *science* of global warming was butchered, tortured and corrupted to prove a hypothesis, rather than to perform objective science.

James Delingpole of The Telegraph elaborates : *The reason I have become so obsessed with "global warming" in the last few years is not because I'm particularly interested in the "how many drowning polar bears can dance on the head of a pin" non-argument which hysterical sites like RealClimate and bloggers like Joe Romm are striving so desperately to keep on a life support machine. It's because unlike some I've read widely enough to see the bigger picture. One thing I've learned in this wide reading is how obsessed so many of the key thinkers in the green movement are with the notion of "overpopulation." As one of their favourite think tanks, the Club of Rome, puts it: "Earth has a cancer and the cancer is man." This belief explains, inter alia, why the "science" behind AGW is so dodgy: because the science didn't come first. What came first was the notion that mankind was a problem and was doing harm to the planet. The "science" was then simply tortured until it fitted in with this notion.*

Earth does not have a cancer; the cancer is not man – Telegraph Blogs - Dr Tim Ball details how the science of climate change came to be "tortured until it fitted in with [the] notion": *Almost every aspect of the Intergovernmental Panel on Climate Change (IPCC) work is manipulated, selected, and controlled, to prove human CO2 is causing global warming. The objective was to prove the hypothesis, not to perform objective science.*

The goal was established by the Club of Rome whose member, Maurice Strong transmitted and translated it into world government policy through the United Nations.

*"The common enemy of humanity is man. In searching for a new enemy to unite us, we came up with the idea that .. the threat of global warming.. would fit the bill.... the real enemy, then, is humanity itself....we believe humanity requires a common motivation, namely a common adversary in order to realize world government. It does not matter if this common enemy is a real one or....one invented for the purpose." — Club of Rome*

He was assisted by politicians like Al Gore and Tim Wirth. In 1993 the latter did not hide the naked political objective. *"We've got to ride this global warming issue. Even if the theory of global warming is wrong, we will be doing the right thing in terms of economic and environmental policy."* Timothy Wirth, President of the UN Foundation

They were aided by national weather agencies and bureaucratic scientists with similar political persuasions appointed to the IPCC. They claimed their goal was achieved in the 2007 IPCC Report which concluded, *"Another unusual aspect of recent climate change is its cause: past climate changes were natural in origin, whereas most of the warming of the past 50 years is attributable to human activities."*

All the CO2 numbers used by the IPCC are very poor estimates and designed to underline the human impact. They are meaningless figures from the total volumes to the annual flows and the human inputs as depicted in the IPCC carbon cycle. IPCC wanted to prove human CO2 was causing global warming as part of their belief that industrialized populations would exhaust all resources and had to be shut down. Their only objective

was to show human production was steadily, inexorably increasing. Their calculations predetermine that, because human CO2 production is directly linked to population increase. A population increase guarantees a CO2 increase. It is another of their circular arguments that has no basis in science.

So is the planet overpopulated? Tim Ball has done the numbers and concludes, "*The world is not overpopulated. That fallacy is perpetuated in all environmental research, policy and planning including global warming and latterly climate change.*"

FINAL WORD :

The ultimate prize to the eco-activists and their big government benefactors is the control of carbon, which would touch every aspect of our daily lives. Consequently, greenhouse gases and global climate change are of paramount importance to the eco-activist agenda. While much has been written about global climate change over many years, the basic aspects of the issue haven't changed; we are asked to forget things we once knew and ignore the simplest hypothesis that the earth's climate is ever changing.

From the Thursday Files:

*If you repeat a lie often enough, people will believe it, and you will even come to believe it yourself.*

**- Attributed to Goebbels in Publications Relating to Various Aspects of Communism (1946), by United States Congress, House Committee on Un-American Activities, Issues 1-15, p. 19, no reliable source has been located, and this is probably simply a further variation of the Big Lie idea. See Wikipedia**